

Gabrielle Collier

From: Mike Donohue
Sent: Friday, August 31, 2001 7:17 AM
To: 'Dave Parker'
Cc: Gabrielle Collier
Subject: RE: Re: [Fwd: FW: KatieSoft]

The Examiner issued a second (non-final) Office Action. Claims 1-12 and 14-30 are rejected in light of newly cited references. The Examiner indicated that claims 13 and 31-35 would be allowable in independent form. We have two options at this point. One is to review the Office Action and cited references in detail and prepare a response. I have not reviewed the references and thus cannot offer any opinion as the relevance of the references. You should expect a detailed review of the references to cost approximately \$1500. An amendment/response may cost an additional \$1500.

The second alternative is to amend the claims to gain allowance of claims 13 and 31-35 and cancel the rejected claims. This would allow you to get a patent issued. A Continuation application could be filed to go after broader claims. You should expect to pay approximately \$1500 for such an amendment. If you elect this route, we would expect a Notice of Allowance from the Patent Office in the near future. This will require the submission of formal drawings and the payment of the issue fee. I can get a more accurate estimate of the cost for the formal drawings, but you can expect to pay approximately \$1000. The issue fee is approximately \$700.

Please note that the current balance on this account is more than \$50,000. Whichever strategy you elect, we request the fees and costs in advance so that Katiesoft does not fall further behind.

Also please note that the response to the Office Action should be filed by November 6, 2001. However, this time can be extended up to three months with the payment of additional government fees.

Please let me have your instructions well in advance of the due date.

Mike Donohue
Seed Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6300
Seattle, WA 98104
Tel. (206) 622-4900
Fax. (206) 682-6031
E-mail miked@seedlaw.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Dave Parker [mailto:dp@appeal.se]
Sent: Saturday, August 25, 2001 2:01 AM
To: miked@seedlaw.com
Subject: Fwd: Re: [Fwd: FW: KatieSoft]

----- Forwarded message from Dave Parker <dp@appeal.se> -----
Date: Sat, 25 Aug 2001 11:00:25 +0200 (CEST)
From: Dave Parker <dp@appeal.se>
Reply-To: Dave Parker <dp@appeal.se>
Subject: Re: [Fwd: FW: KatieSoft]
To: caryl parker <caryl.p@earthlink.net>

What claims are rejected?
What claims are allowable? But in another form, and what does that really mean anyway?

I've been waiting for the next shoe to drop regarding the patent status, then I'm ready to call the appropriate parties.

Please educate me on the material status, and I will get some things going.

Regards,

/dp

Quoting caryl parker <caryl@earthlink.net>:

>
>

David D. Parker
CEO Appeal
mailto:dp@appeal.se
cell:650-868-5857
pager:800-313-3492
----- End forwarded message -----

David D. Parker
CEO Appeal
mailto:dp@appeal.se
cell:650-868-5857
pager:800-313-3492